

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Brussels	New York
Chicago	Northern Virginia
Frankfurt	Orange County
Hamburg	Paris
Hong Kong	San Diego
London	San Francisco
Los Angeles	Shanghai
Milan	Silicon Valley
Moscow	Singapore
Munich	Tokyo
New Jersey	Washington, D.C.

May 22, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Petition of ACS of Anchorage, Inc. Pursuant to Section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. 160(c)), for Forbearance from Certain Dominant Carrier Regulation of Its Interstate Access Services, and for Forbearance from Title II Regulation of Its Broadband Services, in the Anchorage, Alaska, Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Study Area, WC Docket No. 06-109 -- Ex Parte Notice*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On Sunday, May 20, 2007, David C. Eisenberg, Ted Moninski and Sarah Gilbertson of Alaska Communications Systems Group, Inc. ("ACS") met with Dan Gonzalez, Chief of Staff for Chairman Martin, and Tom Navin, Chief of the Wireline Competition Bureau, regarding the above-referenced proceeding.

In the meeting, ACS reiterated the need for relief from certain aspects of dominant carrier regulation that ACS requested in the petition. ACS noted that only one party providing service in Alaska has participated in the forbearance proceeding—General Communication, Inc. ("GCI"). GCI's comments focused on its concerns about UNE access in Anchorage. This issue is now moot in light of the parties' recent interconnection agreement, which obligates ACS to make UNEs available throughout the Anchorage study area. ACS also expressed its interest in obtaining some certainty regarding switched access rates similar to the treatment of Qwest in Omaha. *See Petition of Qwest Corporation for Forbearance Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 160(c) in the Omaha Metropolitan Statistical Area, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 19415 ¶¶ 40-41 (2005).*

The substance of ACS's argument is set forth in more detail in ACS's Petition and Reply Comments in this docket. *Petition of ACS of Anchorage, Inc. Pursuant to Section 10 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. 160(c)), for Forbearance from Certain Dominant Carrier Regulation of Its Interstate Access Services, and for Forbearance from Title II Regulation of Its Broadband Services, in the Anchorage, Alaska, Incumbent Local Exchange*

LATHAM & WATKINS^{LLP}

Carrier Study Area, WC Docket No. 06-109 (filed May 22, 2006); Reply Comments of ACS of ACS of Anchorage, Inc. in Support of its Petition for Forbearance from Title II Regulation of Its Broadband Services, in the Anchorage, Alaska, Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier Study Area, WC Docket No. 06-109 (filed Sept. 11, 2006).

Please direct any questions regarding this matter to me.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Karen Brinkmann

Counsel to ACS of Anchorage, Inc.

cc: Dan Gonzalez
Tom Navin